

This Page Is Inserted by IFW Operations
and is not a part of the Official Record

BEST AVAILABLE IMAGES

Defective images within this document are accurate representations of the original documents submitted by the applicant.

Defects in the images may include (but are not limited to):

- BLACK BORDERS
- TEXT CUT OFF AT TOP, BOTTOM OR SIDES
- FADED TEXT
- ILLEGIBLE TEXT
- SKEWED/SLANTED IMAGES
- COLORED PHOTOS
- BLACK OR VERY BLACK AND WHITE DARK PHOTOS
- GRAY SCALE DOCUMENTS

IMAGES ARE BEST AVAILABLE COPY.

**As rescanning documents *will not* correct images,
please do not report the images to the
Image Problem Mailbox.**



(11) **EP 1 001 608 A2**

(12) **EUROPEAN PATENT APPLICATION**

(43) Date of publication:
17.05.2000 Bulletin 2000/20

(51) Int Cl.7: **H04N 1/40, H04N 1/333**

(21) Application number: **99308884.8**

(22) Date of filing: **08.11.1999**

(84) Designated Contracting States:
AT BE CH CY DE DK ES FI FR GB GR IE IT LI LU
MC NL PT SE
 Designated Extension States:
AL LT LV MK RO SI

(72) Inventor: **de Quelroz, Richard L.**
Pittsford, NY 14534 (US)

(74) Representative: **Skone James, Robert Edmund**
GILL JENNINGS & EVERY
Broadgate House
7 Eldon Street
London EC2M 7LH (GB)

(30) Priority: **13.11.1998 US 191245**

(71) Applicant: **Xerox Corporation**
Rochester, New York 14644 (US)

(54) **Method of detecting image compression**

(57) A method detects if an image is compressed. The method determines a block grid within the image and establishes blocks from the determined grid. The method then computes differences between samples inside the established blocks and differences between samples across the established blocks. The method determines that the image is compressed based on characteristics derived from statistics of the computed differences.




FIG. 3

EP 1 001 608 A2

Description

[0001] The present invention is directed to a method of detecting images compressed for example in accordance with the conventional JPEG image compression standard, and more particularly, a method of detecting a blocking signature in an image to provide identification of a JPEG compressed image.

[0002] Data compression is required in data handling processes, where too much data is present for practical applications using the data. Commonly, compression is used in communication links, where the time to transmit is long, or where bandwidth is limited. Another use for compression is in data storage, where the amount of media space on which the data is stored can be substantially reduced with compression. A device showing either or both of these cases is a digital copier where an intermediate storage is used for collation, reprint or any other digital copier functions. Additionally, digital copiers often allow the printing of externally received data. Generally speaking, scanned images and print masters, i.e., electronic representations of hard copy documents, are commonly large, and thus are desirable candidates for compression.

[0003] The method disseminated by the JPEG committee for still image compression is described in detail in "JPEG: Still Image Compression Standard", by W. Pennebaker and J. Mitchell, published by Van Nostrand Reinhold in 1992. We will refer to the lossy compression modes based on the discrete cosine transform and it will be called JPEG compression in this application. JPEG compression is a lossy system that reduces data redundancies based on pixel to pixel correlations. Generally, in images, on a pixel to pixel basis, an image does not change very much. An image therefore has what is known as "natural spatial correlation". In natural scenes, correlation is generalized, but not exact. Noise makes each pixel somewhat different from its neighbors.

[0004] Generally, as shown in Figure 1, a JPEG compression and decompression system is illustrated. A more complete discussion may be had by referencing US-A 5,321,522 to Eschbach and US-A 5,359,676 to Fan. The entire contents of US-A 5,321,522 and US-A 5,359,676 are hereby incorporated by reference.

[0005] Initially provided is tile memory 10 for storing an M x M portion of the image. From the portion of the image stored in tile memory, the discrete cosine transform (DCT), transformer 12 forms a frequency space representation of the image. Hardware implementations are available, such as the C-Cube Microsystems CL550A JPEG image compression processor, which operates in either the compression or the decompression mode according to the proposed JPEG standard. As will be described below, the implementation of the invention can be in either in software or hardware.

[0006] A divisor/quantization device 14 is used, from a set of values referred to as a Q-Table, stored in a Q table memory 16, so that a distinct Q table value is divided into the DCT value, returning the integer portion of the value as the quantized DCT value. A statistical encoder 20 often using Huffman codes is used to encode the quantized DCT values to generate the compressed image that is output for storage, transmission, etc.

[0007] Discrete cosine transforms are well known, and hardware exists to perform the transform on image data, e.g., US-A 5,049,991 to Niihara, US-A 5,001,559 to Gonzales et al., and US-A 4,999,705 to Puri.

[0008] To decompress the now-compressed image, and with reference to Figure 1, a series of functions or steps are followed to reverse the process described. Huffman encoding is removed at decoder 50. The image signal now represents the quantized DCT coefficients, which are multiplied at signal multiplier 52 by the Q table values in memory 54 in a process inverse to the compression process. At inverse transformer 56, the inverse transform of the discrete cosine transform is derived, and the output image in the spatial domain is stored at image buffer 58.

[0009] In US-A 5,321,522 and US-A 5,379,122 to Eschbach, US-A 5,359,676 to Fan, the standard process described in Figure 1 is varied. The original image is compressed; the compressed representation is decompressed. The decompressed image is additionally filtered to improve appearance, but in doing so, it may be forced outside the range of images that are possibly derived from the original image. The DCT representation of the image is therefore altered, in order to force the image into the acceptable range of images. The processes may be used iteratively.

[0010] Some image formats that involve compression such those using JPEG inflict degradation to the image. When a system invokes to print one of these compressed images, the incoming image may receive special processing to remove those artifacts. First, however, one has to determine whether the image was compressed beforehand.

[0011] The present invention proposes a method to identify whether the image was compressed in the past. Moreover, the present invention proposes a method to identify whether the image was JPEG compressed in the past. By enabling identification of compressed images, the present invention will enable the printing system to steer a compressed image towards a cleaning image processing operation, thereby enabling the printing system to clean-up the image from any undesirable artifacts.

[0012] The method of the present invention is based on the analysis of subtle blocking discontinuities that are present and readily discernible in compressed images. For example in producing JPEG compressed images, the image is divided into blocks that are transformed, quantized, and compressed virtually independently. Thus, discontinuities across block boundaries (blocking effects) account for the most noticeable compression artifact caused by JPEG compression. The higher the compression the higher the blocking. The present invention performs an analysis of the blocking discontinuities as an indicative of compression history.

[0013] One aspect of the present invention is a method for detecting if an image is compressed. The method computes the absolute difference between two neighbor pixels of an image, for a predetermined number of pixels of the image, horizontally and vertically; divides the results into first differences that correspond to crossing block boundaries (I) and second differences that correspond to not crossing block boundaries (II); computes histograms from samples in I and II; normalizes each histogram; and determines if the image is compressed based on a difference between the two normalized histograms.

[0014] A second aspect of the present invention is a method for detecting if an image is compressed. The method determines a block grid within the image; establishes blocks from the determined grid; computes differences between samples inside the established blocks; computes differences between samples across the established blocks; and determines that the image is compressed based on characteristics derived from statistics of the computed differences.

[0015] A third aspect of the present invention is a method of determining if an image is compressed. The method determines a block grid within the image; establishes blocks from the determined grid; for each block, computes a first set of differences from four adjacent pixels located within the block; for each block, computes a second set of differences from four adjacent pixels, each pixel being located in a corner of four adjacent blocks; computes histogram $H(n)$ for the first set of differences and histogram $H'(n)$ for the second set of differences; normalizes the histograms; and determines if the image is compressed based on a difference between the two normalized histograms.

[0016] A fourth aspect of the present invention is a method of determining if an image is compressed. The method determines a block grid within the image; establishes blocks from the determined grid; for each block, computes a first set of differences from a first set of four adjacent pixels located within the block; for each block, computes a second set of differences from a second set of four adjacent pixels located within the block; for each block, computes a third set of differences from a third set of four adjacent pixels, each pixel in the third set being located in a corner of four adjacent blocks; computes histogram $H_0(n)$ for the first set of differences, histogram $H_1(n)$ for the second set of differences, and histogram $H'(n)$ for the third set of differences; normalizes the histograms; and determines if the image is compressed based on a difference between the three normalized histograms.

[0017] A fifth aspect of the present invention is a method for determining a grid within an image. The method computes a first sum of absolute values of the differences in a horizontal direction comprising only samples which are a predetermined number of pixels apart; computes a second sum of absolute values of the differences in a vertical direction comprising only samples which are the predetermined number of pixels apart; and determines if the image contains a grid based on a relationship between the first and second sums and a predetermined threshold.

[0018] A sixth aspect of the present invention is a method for determining a grid location within an image. Let $P(i,j)$ be the light intensity of image pixels at position (i,j) in the image. The method computes a first sum E_H of absolute values of the differences in a horizontal direction comprising only samples that are a predetermined number of pixels R apart wherein

$$E_H = \sum_i \sum_j |P(i, Rj + D_H) - P(i, Rj + D_H - 1)|;$$

computes a second sum E_V of absolute values of the differences in a vertical direction comprising only samples which are a predetermined number of pixels R apart wherein

$$E_V = \sum_i \sum_j |P(Ri + D_V, j) - P(Ri + D_V - 1, j)|;$$

determines if the image contains a grid based on a relationship between the first and second sums and a predetermined threshold; and locates a boundary in the R -pixel-spaced grid as a point vertically shifted by D_V pixels from an origin and horizontally shifted by D_H pixels from the origin, wherein D_V and D_H are values which maximize E_V and E_H , respectively.

[0019] Another aspect of the present invention is a method for detecting if an image is compressed. The method computes the absolute difference between two neighbor pixels of an image, for a predetermined number of pixels of the image, horizontally and vertically; divides the results into first differences that correspond to crossing block boundaries (I) and second differences that correspond to not crossing block boundaries (II); and determines if the image is

compressed based on a difference between statistics of the first and second difference sequences.

[0020] A further aspect of the present invention is a method to detect if an image is compressed. The method detects blocking artifacts in the image indicative of compression and provides an output indicative of compression upon detection of the blocking artifacts.

[0021] Further objects and advantages of the present invention will become apparent from the following description and the various features of the present invention.

[0022] The following is a brief description of each drawing used to describe the present invention, and thus, are being presented for illustrative purposes only and should not be limitative of the scope of the present invention, wherein:

Figure 1 shows a functional block diagram for the prior art ADCT compression/recompression process;

Figure 2 shows a typical application for the proposed embodiment;

Figure 3 is a flowchart showing one embodiment of the present invention;

Figure 4 illustrates normalized histograms for an uncompressed image;

Figure 5 illustrates normalized histograms for a compressed image;

Figure 6 illustrates the difference between Figures 5 and 6;

Figure 7 illustrates pixel selection for a second embodiment of the present invention; and

Figure 8 illustrates pixel selection for a third embodiment of the present invention.

[0023] With reference initially to Figure 2, it will be appreciated the present invention may conveniently be included in a workstation or personal computer generally indicated as 60, operating in accordance with a program implementing the method described herein. Conveniently, compressed images are received at personal computer 60 from a transmission line 62, via modem 64. Image received and decompressed may be reproduced at a display 66 associated with workstation 60; at a printer 68 with or without further processing by the workstation at memory associated with the personal computer; or for re-transmission as an uncompressed image.

[0024] As discussed above, some image formats, which involve JPEG compression, inflict degradation to the image. An example of this degradation is the formation of structure in the image that resembles an underlying grid within the image. This grid formation can be very distracting in the rendered image. Furthermore, sharp edges such as those present in images containing text and graphics may also be smoothed and distorted by JPEG compression. Thus, when printing the compressed incoming image, the image may require special processing to remove these artifacts. For example, in some printers the image may undergo sigma filtering processing to eliminate compression artifacts.

[0025] First, however, the printing system has to determine whether the incoming uncompressed image was compressed beforehand. The preferred embodiment of the present invention proposes to identify whether the image was JPEG compressed in the past based on the analysis of subtle blocking discontinuities.

[0026] In JPEG, the image is divided into blocks that are transformed, quantized, and compressed virtually independently. Thus, discontinuities across block boundaries (blocking effects) account for the most noticeable compression artifact caused by JPEG compression. The higher the compression ratio, the greater the impact of the blocking effects is on the rendered image.

[0027] As noted before, to eliminate these artifacts, the printing system needs an effective way to recognize a compressed or blocked image. The present invention performs an analysis of the blocking discontinuities as an indication of compression history. It is noted that previously compressed images have more discontinuities across the borders of the 8x8 blocks than images that were not compressed.

[0028] Given this fact, a preferred embodiment of the present invention utilizes a method as illustrates in Figure 3. In Figure 3, step S1 computes the absolute difference between two neighbor pixels, for all pixels, horizontally and vertically. At step S2, the present invention divides the result into differences that cross the block boundaries (I) and those that do not cross the block boundaries (II). At Step S3, the present invention computes the histogram of samples in I and II, and then step S4 normalizes each histogram such that their sum is 1. At step S5, the present invention analyzes the difference between the two normalized histograms.

[0029] Figure 4 depicts a normalized histogram for an area I (across boundaries) and for an area II (internal to a block) for an uncompressed image. Figure 5 depicts a normalized histogram for an area I (across boundaries) and for an area II (internal to a block) for the same image after compressing using quality factor 75 in standard JPEG. This quality factor maintains excellent image quality and yields only modest compression ratios, in this case 5.6-to-1.

[0030] Figures 5 and 6 illustrate a large shift of the histograms due to the compression. First the internal differences are flattened as one can see from the fact that the number of very small differences increased. At the same time the differences across the block boundaries seemed to increase.

[0031] Figure 6 shows the difference between the histograms in Figures 5 and 6 illustrating the large increase in the difference between regions I and II after the image is compressed.

[0032] One preferred embodiment of the present invention to realize the detection of the compressed image is to take the sum of the absolute differences between normalized histogram in regions I and II, i.e. $K = \text{sum}(\text{abs}(\text{norm_hist_I} - \text{norm_hist_II}))$

(0:50)-norm_hist_11(0:50))). Only the first 50 elements of the histogram are included because the neighbor-pixel differences are very unlikely to be that high even after compression and the histogram entries are virtually zero beyond this point. In other words, the remaining noisy histogram samples would not contribute to a positive identification.

[0033] The resulting number K can be compared to a predetermined threshold value for hard decision. This hard decision threshold can be established through experimentation of user choice since the threshold only effects the turning ON or OFF of the special processing operation which affects the image output, a very subjective decision based on the user's personal tastes. On the other hand, the resulting number K can be mapped to confidence numbers for a soft decision.

[0034] In one embodiment of the present invention, the method utilizes the following parameters or thresholds:

- A. The image is unlikely to have been compressed if $K \leq 0.05$
- B. The image is likely to have been compressed if $0.05 < K < 0.15$
- C. The image is very likely to have been compressed if $K \geq 0.15$

[0035] In order to find the correct position of the grid of 8x8 blocks (in case the image was previously cropped from another one) one must first decide where the grid lies before using the detection method described above.

[0036] The present invention finds the correct position of the grids by computing the sum of absolute values of the differences in one direction comprising only samples which are 8 pixels apart and by looking for larger appearance of blocking artifacts. Then the process is repeated for the other direction; i.e.,

$$E_H = \sum_i \sum_j |P(i, 8j + D_H) - P(i, 8j + D_H - 1)|$$

$$E_H = \sum_i \sum_j |P(8i + D_V, j) - P(8i + D_V - 1, j)|$$

D_V and D_H are selected as the values for which E_V and E_H were larger, respectively.

[0037] In this process, the block grid in the image is assumed to be shifted by $D_V \times D_H$ pixels from the origin. Note that the difference evaluation just needs to be done once while the results can be applied for both the energy and histogram computations.

[0038] It is evident for those skilled in the art that the present invention can be practiced to a subset of the image pixels. Said subset can correspond to a portion of the image or to a number of non adjacent pairs of pixels chosen from the image according to any reasonable criterion.

[0039] The method was tested on several images. The results are shown in Table I for 10 monochrome images, wherein QXX indicates a quality factor of XX using the example (default) JPEG quantizer tables and a scaling (quality) factor as practiced by the Independent JPEG Group's JPEG compression software. Q100 represents nearly perfect image (minimum quantization) but yielding very low compression, giving a reconstructed image that is indistinguishable from the original image since this setting achieves the best quality JPEG can provide. Q90 has invisible artifacts and its quality is excellent for all applications achieving compression ratios typically lower than 5:1. Quality factors of 50 and below are more likely scenarios where any artifact removal processing might take place.

Table I -

Values of K							
Image	Original	Q100	Q90	Q70	Q50	Q30	Q10
1	0.0442	0.0470	0.0871	0.2437	0.3211	0.4712	0.6552
2	0.0513	0.0474	0.1141	0.2268	0.3185	0.4378	0.6047
3	0.0164	0.0173	0.1642	0.2678	0.3860	0.4045	0.4553
4	0.0393	0.0399	0.1984	0.3200	0.3984	0.4340	0.5224
5	0.0359	0.0402	0.1561	0.3098	0.4363	0.5663	0.7479

Table 1 - (continued)

Values of K							
Image	Original	Q100	Q90	Q70	Q50	Q30	Q10
6	0.0312	0.0303	0.0508	0.1468	0.1936	0.2508	0.4039
7	0.0382	0.0387	0.1638	0.3411	0.4540	0.5767	0.6520
8	0.0415	0.0434	0.1226	0.1975	0.2795	0.3654	0.4847
9	0.0397	0.0199	0.3282	0.4155	0.3424	0.3019	0.3096
10	0.0215	0.0212	0.0529	0.1387	0.1671	0.2424	0.4656

[0040] Other mapping methods can be used to interpret K; e.g., mapping K ranging from 0.01 to 0.6 to a scale from 0 to 100 to indicate the likelihood that the image was compressed using JPEG.

[0041] In another embodiment of the present invention, it takes about 6 additions per block of 8x8 pixels and simple histogram manipulation to detect whether the image was previously compressed or not. The performance of this method is comparable to the one described above but has a lower implementation cost.

[0042] As noted before, the above described method (Figure 3) analyzes the histograms of the differences between neighbor samples that cross block boundaries against differences between samples that do not cross block boundaries. In the second embodiment of the present invention, the method still performs an analysis of the blocking discontinuities as an indicative of compression history since a previously compressed image has more discontinuities across the borders of the 8x8 blocks than an image that was not compressed.

[0043] An example of the detection method according to the second embodiment is illustrated in Figure 7. In Figure 7, an 8x8 block of an image is illustrated. Referring to Figure 7, the second embodiment utilizes 5 steps. The first step, for each block, computes $Q = |A - B - C + D|$ and $Q' = |A' - B' - C' + D'|$, actually forming a sequence of measures $Q(i,j)$ and $Q'(i,j)$ (one sample per block). The second step computes histograms $H(n)$ of all $Q(i,j)$ and $H'(n)$ of all $Q'(i,j)$. Next, the histograms are normalized so that the sum of entries in each one is unity. The sum of absolute value of the histogram difference is calculated as:

$$E = \sum_{n=0}^{50} |H(n) - H'(n)|$$

[0044] Lastly, the value E is mapped to a subjective or objective indication value, as discussed above.

[0045] The same images from Table 1 above were used with this second embodiment to verify how E behaves as a function of compression. The results are shown in Table 2 below.

Table 2 -

Values of E							
Image	Original	Q100	Q90	Q70	Q50	Q30	Q10
1	0.0779	0.0889	0.3998	0.7072	0.7982	0.9058	1.1212
2	0.1106	0.1131	0.4732	0.6795	0.7581	0.8597	1.1073
3	0.0484	0.0468	0.5243	0.6330	0.7351	0.7863	0.8758
4	0.2279	0.2258	0.6171	0.6878	0.8085	0.7555	0.7971
5	0.0266	0.0315	0.5943	0.9024	1.0789	1.2194	1.2409
6	0.0506	0.0496	0.1855	0.4167	0.5106	0.5868	0.8100
7	0.0844	0.0678	0.6359	0.9514	1.0343	1.1013	1.1232

Table 2 - (continued)

Values of E							
Image	Original	Q100	Q90	Q70	Q50	Q30	Q10
8	0.0967	0.1071	0.3341	0.5213	0.7133	0.7921	0.8987
9	0.0355	0.0897	0.6339	0.6844	0.5876	0.5434	0.5790
10	0.1169	0.0978	0.1794	0.3527	0.4689	0.5820	0.8743

[0046] From this verification, the parameters for E should be as follows:

E<0.3 Not compressed at very high quality

0.3≤E≤0.5 Likely compressed

E>0.5 Very likely compressed

[0047] A third embodiment of this detection process is illustrated by Figure 8. This embodiment uses a reference difference inside the block. With reference to Figure 8, the method initially, for each block, computes $Q_0 = |A-B-C+D|$, $Q_1 = |W-X-Y+Z|$, and $Q' = |A'-B'-C'+D'|$, actually forming a sequence of measures $Q_0(i,j)$, $Q_1(i,j)$ and $Q'(i,j)$ (one sample per block). Next, the method computes histograms $H_0(n)$ of all $Q_0(i,j)$, $H_1(n)$ of all $Q_1(i,j)$, and $H'(n)$ of all $Q'(i,j)$. The histograms are normalized so that the sum of entries in each one is unity, and the sum of absolute value of the histogram differences is calculated as:

$$E_0 = \sum_{n=0}^{50} |H(n) - H'(n)| \quad E_1 = \sum_{n=0}^{50} |H_0(n) - H_1(n)|$$

[0048] Lastly, the ratio E_0/E_1 is mapped to a subjective or objective indication value, in the same manner as discussed above. In this embodiment, a threshold of 3 or 4 (e.g. $E_0/E_1 > 3$) is a reliable indicator for detecting a compressed image. This variation is more robust than the second embodiment, although requiring a little more computation. Other mapping methods can be used to interpret E; e.g., mapping E to a continuous confidence number. It is noted that E is only an indicator of blockiness in the image, therefore, one needs to be very careful to not use E to determine how much compression was applied to an image. Lastly, the position of the 8x8 block grid can be either assumed or detected.

[0049] It is evident for those skilled in the art that the present invention can be practiced to a subset of the image pixels. Said subset can correspond to a portion of the image or to a number of non adjacent pairs of pixels chosen from the image according to any reasonable criterion.

[0050] The disclosed methods may be readily implemented in software. Alternatively, the disclosed methods may be implemented partially or fully implemented in hardware using standard logic circuits or specifically on a single chip using VLSI. Whether software or hardware is used to implement the method varies depending on the speed and efficiency requirements of the system and also the particular function and the particular software or hardware systems and the particular microprocessor or microcomputer systems being utilized.

Claims

1. A method for detecting if an image is compressed, comprising the steps of:

- computing the absolute difference between two neighbor pixels of an image, for a predetermined number of pixels of the image, horizontally and vertically;
- dividing the results of said step (a) into first differences that correspond to crossing block boundaries (I) and second differences that correspond to not crossing block boundaries (II); and
- determining if the image is compressed based on a difference between statistics of the first and second differences.

2. A method according to claim 1, wherein step (c) comprises:

- (c1) computing histograms from samples in I and II;
- (c2) normalizing each histogram; and
- (c3) determining if the image is compressed based on a difference between the two normalized histograms.

3. The method as claimed in claim 2, wherein said step (c3) further comprises the substeps of:

- determining a difference value K which is equal to $K = \text{sum}(\text{absolute}((\text{histogram}(I)) - (\text{histogram}(II))))$; and
- determining that the image is compressed when K is greater than a first predetermined threshold.

4. The method as claimed in claim 2 or claim 3, wherein said step (c3) further comprises the substeps of:

- determining a difference value K which is equal to $K = \text{sum}(\text{absolute}((\text{histogram}(I)) - (\text{histogram}(II))))$;
- determining that the image is likely compressed when K is greater than a first predetermined threshold and less than a second predetermined threshold.

5. The method as claimed in any of claims 2 to 4, wherein said step (c3) further comprises the substeps of:

- determining a difference value K which is equal to $K = \text{sum}(\text{absolute}((\text{histogram}(I)) - (\text{histogram}(II))))$;
- determining that the image is not compressed when K is less than a first predetermined threshold.

6. The method as claimed in any of the preceding claims, wherein the predetermined number of pixels is all of the pixels of the image.

7. A method for detecting if an image is compressed, comprising the steps of:

- (a) determining a block grid within the image;
- (b) establishing blocks from the determined grid;
- (c) computing differences between samples inside the established blocks;
- (d) computing differences between samples across the established blocks; and
- (e) determining that the image is compressed based on characteristics derived from statistics of the computed differences.

8. A method according to any of the preceding claims, wherein the image is compressed using JPEG compression.




FIG. 1
PRIOR ART




FIG. 2




FIG. 3




FIG. 4




FIG. 5




FIG. 6




FIG. 7

(19)



Europäisches Patentamt
European Patent Office
Office européen des brevets



(11)

EP 1 001 608 A3

(12)

EUROPEAN PATENT APPLICATION

(88) Date of publication A3:
02.05.2001 Bulletin 2001/18

(51) Int Cl.7: **H04N 1/40**, **H04N 1/333**,
H04N 7/30

(43) Date of publication A2:
17.05.2000 Bulletin 2000/20

(21) Application number: **99308884.8**

(22) Date of filing: **08.11.1999**

(84) Designated Contracting States:
AT BE CH CY DE DK ES FI FR GB GR IE IT LI LU
MC NL PT SE
Designated Extension States:
AL LT LV MK RO SI

(72) Inventor: **de Queiroz, Richard L.**
Pittsford, NY 14534 (US)

(74) Representative: **Skone James, Robert Edmund**
GILL JENNINGS & EVERY
Broadgate House
7 Eldon Street
London EC2M 7LH (GB)

(30) Priority: **13.11.1998 US 191245**

(71) Applicant: **Xerox Corporation**
Rochester, New York 14644 (US)

(54) Method of detecting image compression

(57) A method detects if an image is compressed. The method determines a block grid within the image and establishes blocks from the determined grid. The method then computes differences between samples inside the established blocks and differences between samples across the established blocks. The method determines that the image is compressed based on characteristics derived from statistics of the computed differences.




FIG. 3

EP 1 001 608 A3



European Patent
Office

EUROPEAN SEARCH REPORT

Application Number
EP 99 30 8884

DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT			
Category	Citation of document with indication, where appropriate, of relevant passages	Relevant to claim	CLASSIFICATION OF THE APPLICATION (Int.Cl.7)
P,X	EP 0 886 444 A (NDS LTD) 23 December 1998 (1998-12-23)	1	H04N1/40
P,A	* page 2, line 56 - page 3, line 38 *	2-7	H04N1/333
	---		H04N7/30
P,X	EP 0 917 357 A (SONY CORP) 19 May 1999 (1999-05-19)	1	
P,A	* page 6, line 29 - page 7, line 6; figure 7 *	2-7	

E	EP 0 986 270 A (TEKTRONIX INC) 15 March 2000 (2000-03-15)	1,6,8	
	* page 3, line 9 - line 16; figure 2 *		

A	KATAJAMAKI J ET AL: "Objective quality potential measures of natural color images" JOURNAL OF IMAGING SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY, MAY-JUNE 1998, SOC. IMAGING SCI. & TECHNOL, USA, vol. 42, no. 3, pages 250-263, XP000979385 ISSN: 1062-3701 * paragraph [Coding Artifacts] *	1-7	

A	US 5 209 220 A (NAKAMURA KAZUNARI ET AL) 11 May 1993 (1993-05-11)	1-7	
	* column 24, line 34 - column 25, line 13; figure 23 *		

	-/--		
The present search report has been drawn up for all claims			
Place of search THE HAGUE		Date of completion of the search 9 March 2001	Examiner Georgiou, G
CATEGORY OF CITED DOCUMENTS X : particularly relevant if taken alone Y : particularly relevant if combined with another document of the same category A : technological background O : non-written disclosure P : intermediate document T : theory or principle underlying the invention E : earlier patent document, but published on, or after the filing date D : document cited in the application L : document cited for other reasons & : member of the same patent family, corresponding document			

EPO FORM 1503 03/82 (P04C01)



European Patent
Office

EUROPEAN SEARCH REPORT

Application Number
EP 99 30 8884

DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT			
Category	Citation of document with indication, where appropriate, of relevant passages	Relevant to claim	CLASSIFICATION OF THE APPLICATION (Int.Cl.7)
A	LAKHANI G: "IMPROVED EQUATIONS FOR JPEG'S BLOCKING ARTIFACTS REDUCTION APPROACH" IEEE TRANSACTIONS ON CIRCUITS AND SYSTEMS FOR VIDEO TECHNOLOGY,US,IEEE INC. NEW YORK, vol. 7, no. 6, 1 December 1997 (1997-12-01), pages 930-934, XP000729350 ISSN: 1051-8215 * paragraph [IV.A] * ---	1-7	TECHNICAL FIELDS SEARCHED (Int.Cl.7)
A	LIU C -M ET AL: "A NEW POSTPROCESSING METHOD FOR THE BLOCK-BASED DCT CODING BASED ON THE CONVEX-PROJECTION THEORY" IEEE TRANSACTIONS ON CONSUMER ELECTRONICS,IEEE INC. NEW YORK,US, vol. 44, no. 3, August 1998 (1998-08), pages 1054-1060, XP000851620 ISSN: 0098-3063 * paragraph [II.A] * ---	1-7	
A	LEE J -W ET AL: "EFFICIENT ALGORITHM AND ARCHITECTURE FOR POST-PROCESSOR IN HDTV" IEEE TRANSACTIONS ON CONSUMER ELECTRONICS,US,IEEE INC. NEW YORK, vol. 44, no. 1, 1 February 1998 (1998-02-01), pages 16-26, XP000779246 ISSN: 0098-3063 * paragraph [02.1] * -----	1-7	
The present search report has been drawn up for all claims			
Place of search THE HAGUE		Date of completion of the search 9 March 2001	Examiner Georgiou, G
CATEGORY OF CITED DOCUMENTS X : particularly relevant if taken alone Y : particularly relevant if combined with another document of the same category A : technological background O : non-written disclosure P : intermediate document		T : theory or principle underlying the invention E : earlier patent document, but published on, or after the filing date D : document cited in the application L : document cited for other reasons & : member of the same patent family, corresponding document	

EPO FORM 1503 03/02 (P04001)

**ANNEX TO THE EUROPEAN SEARCH REPORT
ON EUROPEAN PATENT APPLICATION NO.**

EP 99 30 8884

This annex lists the patent family members relating to the patent documents cited in the above-mentioned European search report.
The members are as contained in the European Patent Office EDP file on
The European Patent Office is in no way liable for these particulars which are merely given for the purpose of information.

09-03-2001

Patent document cited in search report		Publication date	Patent family member(s)	Publication date
EP 0886444	A	23-12-1998	JP 11168721 A	22-06-1999
EP 0917357	A	19-05-1999	CN 1234939 T	10-11-1999
			WO 9854892 A	03-12-1998
EP 0986270	A	15-03-2000	JP 2000102041 A	07-04-2000
US 5209220	A	11-05-1993	JP 2030102 C	19-03-1996
			JP 3121036 A	23-05-1991
			JP 7063445 B	12-07-1995
			JP 3121037 A	23-05-1991
			JP 2837888 B	16-12-1998
			JP 3121038 A	23-05-1991
			JP 3123527 A	27-05-1991
			JP 3123528 A	27-05-1991
			JP 3050562 B	12-06-2000
			JP 4152930 A	26-05-1992

EPO FORM P0459

For more details about this annex : see Official Journal of the European Patent Office, No. 12/82